## **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

## **DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.		ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
	Marcos Javier Perez-Ortiz	Case Number:	11-7409m
Defendant wa	te with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 314 as present and was represented by counsel. be detention of the defendant pending trial in	I conclude by a preponderanc	as submitted to the Court on 8/1/11 e of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk
I find by a pre	FII eponderance of the evidence that:	NDINGS OF FACT	
	The defendant is not a citizen of the Uni	ted States or lawfully admitte	d for permanent residence.
	The defendant, at the time of the charge	•	·
	If released herein, the defendant face	es removal proceedings by	the Bureau of Immigration and Customs the defendant has previously been deported
	The defendant has no significant contac	ts in the United States or in th	ne District of Arizona.
	The defendant has no resources in the L to assure his/her future appearance.	Inited States from which he/sl	ne might make a bond reasonably calculated
	The defendant has a prior criminal histor	ry.	
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant substantial family ties to Mexico.	but has no substantial ties	in Arizona or in the United States and has
	There is a record of prior failure to appear	ar in court as ordered.	
	The defendant attempted to evade law e	enforcement contact by fleeing	g from law enforcement.
	The defendant is facing a maximum of _	years imprisonn	nent.
The at the time of	f the hearing in this matter, except as noted CON	in the record. ICLUSIONS OF LAW	es Agency which were reviewed by the Court
a corrections appeal. The of the United	DIRECTION: defendant is committed to the custody of the facility separate, to the extent practicable, fro defendant shall be afforded a reasonable op	is will reasonably assure the a S REGARDING DETENTION Attorney General or his/her or persons awaiting or serving portunity for private consultation fovernment, the person in cha	designated representative for confinement in g sentences or being held in custody pending on with defense counsel. On order of a court rge of the corrections facility shall deliver the
IT IS	APPEALS AI ORDERED that should an appeal of this de	ND THIRD PARTY RELEASI tention order be filed with the	
IT IS Services suff	FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a ficiently in advance of the hearing before the potential third party custodian.	third party is to be considered e District Court to allow Preti	, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial rial Services an opportunity to interview and
DA	TED this 1 <sup>st</sup> day of August, 2011.		
			ard C. Voss es Magistrate Judge